DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby decl	are that my residence nost office a	ddress and citizenshin are as sta	ited belox	w nevt
to my name; I believe that I am the original, first a	· · · · · ·	•		
inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the s				
entitled "CASINO GAMBLING MACHINE WI				
			ation of	wnich
(check one): is attached hereto; was filed was filed				
	(if applicable); □ was		-	
on and was amended u		(if applicable). I he		
I have reviewed and understand the contents of		=		
amendment(s) referred to above. I acknowledge to	he duty to disclose to the Patent an	d Trademark Office all informa	ation kno	wn to
me to be material to patentability as defined in 37	C.F.R. §1.56.			
I hereby claim foreign priority benefits	under 35 U.S.C. §119 of any fo	oreign application(s) for patent	t or inve	ntor's
certificate or of any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country	other than the United States of	America	listed
below and have also identified below any foreig				
application(s) designating at least one country other		•		
a filing date before that of the application(s) of w		med by me on me same subject	. matter is	tav ing
a ming date before that of the application(3) of wi	nen priority is claimed.	De	iority Cla	aimad
		PI		
(Application Serial Number) (6	Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
TOO IN THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF THE PERS				
(Andreas Could Nove to a				
*(Application Serial Number) (0	Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.	C. §119(e) of any United States pr	ovisional application(s) listed b	elow:	
9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
(Application Serial Number)		(Day/Month/Year Filed)		
¥				
(Application Serial Number)		(Day/Month/Year Filed)		
		(,		
I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.	C. §120 of any United States appli	cation(s) or PCT international	applicat	ion(s)
designating the United States of America listed be	ow and, insofar as the subject mat	ter of each of the claims of this	s applicat	tion is
not disclosed in the prior application(s) in the man	ner provided by the first paragraph	a of 35 U.S.C. §112, I acknow	ledge the	e duty
to disclose to the Office all information known to	me to be material to patentability a	s defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56 w	vhich occ	urred
between the filing date of the prior application(s)	and the national or PCT internation	nal filing date of this applicatio	on:	
		0 11		
(Application Serial Number)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	(Status-Patented, Pendin	ng or Aban	idoned)
(Application Serial Number)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	(Status-Patented, Pendir	ng or Aban	idoned)
I hereby declare that all statements made h	erein of my own knowledge are tru	e and that all statements made (on inform	aation
and belief are believed to be true; and further that	· -			
the like so made are punishable by fine or imprison				

jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: I hereby appoint as my attorneys, with full powers of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Alvin D. Shulman (19,412) Allen H. Gerstein (22,218) Nate F. Scarpelli (22,320) Edward M. O'Toole (22,477) Michael F. Borun (25,447) Trevor B. Joike (25,542) Carl E. Moore, Jr. (26,487)

A WAS

-0-100

Richard H. Anderson (26,526) Patrick D. Ertel (26,877) James P. Zeller (28,491) William E. McCracken (30,195) Richard A. Schuurr (30,890) Anthony Nimmo (30,920) Christine A. Dudzik (31,245) Kevin D. Hogg (31,839) Jeffrey S. Sharp (31,879) Martin J. Hirsch (32,237) James J. Napoli (32,361) Richard M. La Barge (32,254) Li-Hsien Rin-Laures, M.D. (33,547) Douglass C. Hochstetter (33,710) Robert M. Gerstein (34,824) David W. Clough (36,107) James A. Flight (37,622) Roger A. Heppermann (37,641) David A. Gass (38,153) Gregory C. Mayer (38,238) Scott E. Baxendale (41,605) Terrence W. McMillin (30,476)

Send correspondence to: Scott E. Baxendale

 FIRM NAME
 PHONE NO.
 STREET
 CITY & STATE
 ZIP CODE

 Marshall, O'Toole, Gerstein.
 6300 Sears Tower
 Chicago, Illinois
 60606-6402

 Murray & Borun
 312-474-6300
 233 South Wacker Drive
 Chicago, Illinois
 60606-6402

Full Name of First or Sole Inventor	Citizenship		
Richard E. Rowe	United States of America		
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street		
4685 Spring Drive	4685 Spring Drive		
City (Zip)	City (Zip)		
Reno (89502)	Reno (89502)		
State or Country	State or Country		
Nevada	Nevada //		
Date	Signature //		
× //12/00	× /Wy /air		

37 CFR 1.56. DUTY OF DISCLOSURE - INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY (Applicable Portion)

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filling and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose information information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of any claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration ned not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is decimed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentability defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

Information relating to the following factual situations enumerated in 35 USC 102 and 103 may be considered material under 37 CFR 1.56(a).

35 U.S.C. 102. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY: NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication [II] in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or [II] (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use
- or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country become application for patent or inventor's certificate field more than twelve months before the filling of the application in the United
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraph (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
 - paragraph (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for pate (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
 - (g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

35 U.S.C. 103. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NON-OBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER (Applicable Portion)

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made to

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

35 U.S.C. 112. SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Conf. of the second of the sec